JAN 15 1007

CERTIFIED MAIL RETURN RECEIPT REQUESTED

DRE-8J

Mr. Samuel Waldo
Director of Environmental Affairs
Amphenol Corporation
358 Hall Avenue
P.O. Box 5030
Wallingford, Connecticut

RE: Administrative Order on Consent (Dated November 27, 1990) Franklin Power Products/Amphenol IND 044 587 848

Dear Mr. Waldo:

The United States Environmental Protection Agency (U.S. EPA) hereby approves the document "On-Site Recovery System Evaluation Workplan for the Former Amphenol Facility, Franklin, Indiana" dated November, 1996, with the following conditions:

- (1) An additional piezometer shall be installed near the southeast corner of the facility property.
- (2) The Recovery System Evaluation Report shall be submitted within 80 days of approval by U.S. EPA of the Recovery System Evaluation Workplan.
- U.S. EPA recommends that the proposed monitoring well at Glendale Drive be located near enough to the southern facility boundary so as to aid in the interpretation of hydraulic gradients in this area. Also, it is U.S. EPA's opinion that the current data base, unless expanded by additional conductivity distribution data and detailed hydraulic head data, will not provide sufficient calibration data to develop a reliable site groundwater model. Field measurements of hydraulic head should provide a better basis for evaluation of the recovery system, consequently development of a groundwater model is optional and will not be required by U.S. EPA.

As noted in item 2 of the approval conditions, the Recovery System Evaluation Report shall be submitted to U.S. EPA within

days of receipt of this letter. This time frame allows for 3-4 weeks to mobilize equipment and personnel, 1-2 weeks for piezometer/well installation, and 4-5 weeks for report development.

In addition, beginning with the month of January, progress reports which summarize the recovery system pumping record for the month shall be submitted to U.S. EPA by the 5th day of the month following the reporting period. The monthly reports shall provide maximum, minimum, and average pumping rates for each recovery well, note any down time, a discussion of any problems incurred and how corrected, and include any other significant activities pertaining to the corrective action process that occurred.

Lastly, the Corrective Measures Report's discussions on corrective measure alternatives include a deed restriction to limit use of soil and groundwater at the facility. Such deed restriction shall be developed and the actions taken to develop such deed restriction shall be noted in the monthly reports.

If you have any questions, please call me at (312) 886-4568. Sincerely,

William Buller, Project Coordinator Enforcement and Compliance Assurance Branch Waste, Pesticides and Toxics Division MI/WI Section

cc: J. Michael Jarvis, Franklin Power Products John Koehnen, A.T. Kearney Michael Sickles, IDEM bcc: Larry Johnson, ORC

ENFORCEMENT AND COMPLIANCE ASSURANCE BRANCH

SECRETARY	SECRETARY	SECRETARY	SECRETARY	SECRETARY	SECRETARY
AUTHOR/ TYPIST	MINN/OHIO SECTION CHIEF	MICHIGAN/ WISCONSIN SECTION CHIEF	ILLINOIS/ INDIANA SECTION CHIEF	ECAB BRANCH CHIEF	WPTD DIVISION DIRECTOR
MS 1/15/97					



